

JRC VALIDATED METHODS, REFERENCE METHODS AND MEASUREMENTS REPORT

Report on the Verification of the Performance of MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 event-specific PCR- based Methods applied to DNA extracted from GM Stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize

European Union Reference Laboratory for
Genetically Modified Food and Feed

2017



This publication is a Validated Methods, Reference Methods and Measurements report by the Joint Research Centre (JRC), the European Commission's science and knowledge service. It aims to provide evidence-based scientific support to the European policymaking process. The scientific output expressed does not imply a policy position of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use that might be made of this publication.

Contact information

Name: EURL GMFF

Email: JRC-EURL-GMFF@ec.europa.eu

JRC Science Hub

<https://ec.europa.eu/jrc>

JRC 108527

Ispra: European Commission, 2017

© European Union, 2017

Reuse is authorised provided the source is acknowledged. The reuse policy of European Commission documents is regulated by Decision 2011/833/EU (OJ L 330, 14.12.2011, p. 39).

For any use or reproduction of photos or other material that is not under the EU copyright, permission must be sought directly from the copyright holders.

How to cite this report: European Union Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed, Joint Research Centre. "Report on the Verification of the Performance of MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 event-specific PCR-based Methods applied to DNA extracted from GM Stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize". 2017, <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>.

All images © European Union 2017



Report on the Verification of the Performance of MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 event-specific PCR-based Methods applied to DNA extracted from GM Stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize

17/10/2017

European Union Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed

Executive Summary

An application was submitted by Monsanto Company, represented by Monsanto Europe S.A. to request the authorisation of genetically modified stack (GM stack) MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize (glyphosate-tolerance from MON 87427 and NK603 and insect-protection from MON 89034 and MIR162) and all sub-combinations of the individual events as present in the segregating progeny, for food and feed uses, import and processing, in accordance with articles 5 and 17 of Regulation (EC) N° 1829/2003 GM Food and GM Feed. The unique identifier assigned to GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize is MON-87427-7 x MON-89034-3 x SYN-IR162-4 x MON-00603-6.

The GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize has been obtained by conventional crossing between the genetically modified maize events MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603, without any new genetic modification.

The EURL GMFF has previously validated individually, and declared fit for purpose, the detection methods for the single events MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 (see <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>). In line with the approach defined by the ENGL (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/Min_Perf_Requirements_Analytical_methods.pdf) the EURL GMFF has carried out an *in-house* verification of the performance of each validated method when applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

The results of the *in-house* verification led to the conclusion that the individual methods meet the ENGL performance criteria also when applied to genomic DNA extracted from the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

This report is published at <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>.

Content

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. STEP 1 (DOSSIER RECEPTION AND ACCEPTANCE)	4
3. STEP 2 (DOSSIER SCIENTIFIC ASSESSMENT).....	5
4. STEP 3 (EURL GMFF EXPERIMENTAL TESTING)	6
4.1 MATERIALS.....	6
4.2 DNA EXTRACTION	7
4.3 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	8
4.4 PCR METHODS	8
4.4.1 <i>Deviations from the validated methods</i>	9
4.5 RESULTS.....	9
5. CONCLUSIONS	13
6. REFERENCES	14

Quality assurance

The EURL GMFF is ISO 17025:2005 accredited [certificate number: Belac 268 TEST (Flexible Scope for DNA extraction, DNA identification and real Time PCR) and ISO 17043:2010 accredited (certificate number: Belac 268 PT, proficiency test provider).

The original version of the document containing evidence of internal checks and authorisation for publication is archived within the EURL GMFF quality system.

Address of contact laboratory:

Directorate General Joint Research Centre
Directorate F, Health, Consumers & Reference Materials
Food & Feed Compliance Unit (F.5)
EURL Genetically Modified Food and Feed
TP 201 Via E. Fermi 2749
I-21027 Ispra (VA), Italy

Functional mailbox: jrc-eurl-gmff@ec.europa.eu

1. Introduction

The EU legislative system ^(1, 2) for genetically modified food and feed foresees that any GMO for food and feed use shall undergo the authorisation process before it can be placed on the market. This holds true also for a GMO containing more than one single GM event obtained by conventional crossing, co-transformation or re-transformation (genetically modified stack).

Consequently, the application for authorisation of a GM stack shall be accompanied, among others, by an event-specific method for detection, identification and quantification for each GM event composing the stack, and by samples of the stack and food and feed derived from it. The EURL GMFF shall validate the event specific methods of detection proposed by the applicant with regard to their performance when applied to DNA extracted from the stack, and shall report to the European Food Safety Authority, who will include the EURL GMFF report in the overall opinion concerning the risk assessment and potential authorisation of the assessed stack. In line with the approach defined by the ENGL (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/Min_Perf_Requirements_Analytical_methods.pdf) the EURL GMFF carries out an *in-house* verification of the performance of each event-specific methods if this method has previously been validated by the EURL GMFF for the parental single-line event and these events have been stacked by conventional crossing. These criteria are met for the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

Upon reception of methods, samples and related data (step 1), the EURL GMFF carried out the assessment of the documentation (step 2) and the *in-house* verification of the methods (step 3) according to the requirements of Regulation (EU) No 503/2013 (Annex III).

The results of the *in-house* verification study were evaluated with reference to ENGL method performance requirements ⁽³⁾ and to the validation results on the individual events.

2. Step 1 (dossier reception and acceptance)

Monsanto Company submitted the detection methods, data showing their adequate performance when applied to genomic DNA extracted from the stack, and the corresponding control samples of DNA extracted from the GM stack maize MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 and from non GM maize.

The dossier was found to be complete and thus was moved to step 2.

3. Step 2 (dossier scientific assessment)

The data provided by the applicant were assessed against the method acceptance criteria set out by the ENGL⁽³⁾ and with regard to their documentation and reliability.

Table 1 shows values of trueness (expressed as bias %) and precision (expressed as RSD_r %) calculated by the applicant for the four methods applied to MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize genomic DNA. Means are the average of fifteen replicates obtained through four runs performed with ABI 7500 real-time PCR equipment. Percentages are expressed as GM DNA / total DNA x 100.

Note: Numerical values presented in the following tables were rounded keeping two digits for values ≤ 1, one digit for values between 1 and 10 and no digit for values ≥ 10, unless otherwise stated. The calculations in the MS Excel files however were done over not rounded data. This approach might create small inconsistencies in the numerical values reported in the tables but it allows a higher precision in the final results.

Table 1. Trueness (expressed as bias %) and precision (expressed as relative repeatability standard deviation, RSD_r %) provided by the applicant for the MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 methods applied to GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

MON 87427*			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	0.085	1.0	10
Mean	0.10	0.86	10.42
RSD_r (%)	16.77	11.36	3.53
Bias (%)	19.91	-13.69	4.15
MON 89034*			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	0.085	1.0	10
Mean	0.10	1.10	10.65
RSD_r (%)	10.38	6.51	4.43
Bias (%)	15.12	10.34	6.45
MIR162*			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	0.085	1.0	10
Mean	0.08	1.11	11.32
RSD_r (%)	15.66	14.90	9.11
Bias (%)	-3.07	11.31	13.16

Table 1 (continued)

NK603*			
Sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)		
	0.085	1.0	10
Mean	0.07	0.94	9.61
RSD_r (%)	12.37	5.73	3.90
Bias (%)	-18.00	-5.78	-3.92

* values are not rounded but are presented as reported by the applicant

The EURL GMFF verified the data and concluded that they were reliable and seemed to confirm that the methods meet the ENGL performance criteria⁽³⁾.

Two requests of complementary information regarding the methods, control samples and DNA sequences were addressed to the applicant. The EURL GMFF verified the data and the complementary information received and accepted the received clarifications as satisfactory.

Note: the performance of the method for quantification of GM event MIR162 was verified by the applicant with a PCR mix containing a reference dye (sigma R4526) not present in the MIR162 validated method (i.e. R4526 in replacement of sulforhodamine); moreover the final MgCl₂ concentration adopted was different from the final concentration recommended in the validated method.

The dossier was therefore moved to step 3.

4. Step 3 (EURL GMFF experimental testing)

In step 3 the EURL GMFF implemented the four methods in its own laboratory and performed a verification of their performance when applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

4.1 Materials

The following control samples were provided by the applicant:

- genomic DNA of GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize, hemizygous for the loci, as positive control sample.
- genomic DNA of conventional (non-GM) maize whose genetic background is LH244+LH287, as negative control sample.

The EURL GMFF prepared test samples of different GMO concentrations by mixing genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize with the non-GM maize genomic DNA, in a constant amount of total maize genomic DNA. The same GM concentrations as those used in the validation of the methods for the single lines were

achieved, except for NK603 (see Table 2 for details). Table 2 shows the five GM concentrations used in the verification of the MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 methods, when applying them to genomic DNA extracted from the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

Table 2. Percentage (GM %) of MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 in MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 stack genomic DNA contained in the verification samples.

MON 87427 GM %* [[GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100]]	MON 89034 GM %* [[GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100]]	MIR162 GM %* [[GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100]]	NK603 GM %*^ [[GM DNA / total maize DNA x 100]]
0.06	0.09	0.10	0.10
0.20	0.40	0.40	0.50
0.9	0.90	0.90	0.90
3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
8.0	8.0	5.0	5.0

* percentage expressed in copy number ratio

^ The validation of the method for identification and quantification of NK603 maize event in the single line GMO had been carried out by using certified reference materials at the following concentration 0.1%, 0.49%, 0.98%, 1.96% and 4.91% expressed in mass fractions (http://qmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/summaries/NK603report_mm.pdf).

The protocols described by the applicant for the individual MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 maize events were implemented in the EURL GMFF laboratory with the deviations reported in § 4.4.1.

4.2 DNA extraction

A method for DNA extraction from maize was previously evaluated by the EURL GMFF with regard to its performance characteristics and was considered valid, i.e. fit the purpose of providing maize DNA of appropriate quality and amount for being used in subsequent PCR experiments.

Annex III to Reg. (EU) No 503/2013⁽²⁾ requires the applicant to discuss the validity and limitations of the detection methods in the various types of foods and feeds (matrices) that are expected to be placed on the market. To this regard the applicant stated that the applicability of the quantitative real-time PCR methods developed for MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 maize depends on the isolation of sufficient quality and quantity of purified DNA. Conceptually, the detection methods should work as far as good quality and intact DNA can be extracted from processed food and feed materials. The provided DNA extraction method is intended for extraction of genomic DNA from seeds, which primarily results in high molecular weight DNA. The applicant also informed the EURL GMFF that during the processing of maize seeds into food and feed ingredients a number of steps are typically followed, which can influence the quality and intactness of the DNA contained in the final processed maize products^(4,5,6). DNA extraction from certain of these processed matrices may require additional rounds of purification in order to achieve the quality standards needed for quantitative real-time PCR^(7,8).

On a general note the EURL GMFF recommends that laboratories using this validated method for testing complex or difficult matrices always verify that the extracted genomic DNA is of sufficient quality.

The protocol for the DNA extraction method is available at http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/summaries/MON88017_DNAExtr_report.pdf.

Consequently, the EURL GMFF did not verify the DNA extraction method proposed by the applicant.

4.3 Experimental design

Eight PCR runs were carried out for each method. In each run, samples were analysed in parallel with both the GM-specific system and the reference systems high mobility group (*hmg*) or alcohol dehydrogenase 1 gene (*adh1*). Five GM levels were examined per run, each GM level in duplicate. PCR analysis was performed in triplicate for all samples. In total, for each method MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 maize, the quantification of the five GM levels was performed as an average of sixteen replicates per GM level (8 runs x 2 replicated levels per run). An Excel spreadsheet was used for determination of the GM %.

4.4 PCR methods

During the verification study, the EURL GMFF carried out parallel tests on DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize using the single detection methods previously validated for the respective single GM events MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

For detection of GM maize events MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603, DNA fragments of 95-bp, 77-bp, 92-bp and 108-bp respectively are amplified using specific primers. PCR products are measured during each cycle (real-time) by means of target-specific oligonucleotide probes labelled with two fluorescent dyes: 6-FAM (6-carboxyfluorescein) as reporter dye at their 5'-end and TAMRA (carboxytetramethylrhodamine) as a quencher dye at their 3'-end for three events and a non-fluorescent quencher MGB-NFQ (minor groove binder 3' non-fluorescent Quencher) for MON 89034.

For quantification of GM maize events MON 87427, MON 89034 and NK603, a taxon-specific reference system amplifies a 79-bp fragment of high mobility group (*hmg*) gene a maize endogenous gene (GenBank AJ131373.1), using two *hmg* gene-specific primers and a gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA.

For the relative quantification of GM maize events MON 87427, MON 89034 and NK603 maize standard curves are generated both for the GM and for the reference specific system by plotting C_q values of the calibration standards against the logarithm of the DNA amount and by fitting a linear regression into these data. Thereafter, the C_q values of the unknown

samples are measured and, by means of the regression formula, the relative amount of MON 87427, MON 89034 and NK603 maize DNA is estimated.

For the relative quantification of GM event MIR162 DNA, a maize-specific reference system amplifies a 135 bp fragment of the maize endogenous alcohol dehydrogenase 1 gene (*adh1*), using two specific primers and a gene-specific probe labelled with VIC and TAMRA at the 5' and 3' end respectively. The measured fluorescence signal passes a threshold value after a certain number of cycles. This threshold cycle is called the "Cq" value. For quantification of the amount of event MIR162 DNA in a test sample, the normalised Cq values of the calibration samples are used to calculate by linear regression a reference curve Cq-formula. The normalised Cq values of the unknown samples are measured and, by means of the regression formula, the relative amount of event MIR162 DNA is estimated.

For detailed information on the preparation of the respective standard curve calibration samples please refer to the protocols of the validated methods at <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/StatusOfDossiers.aspx>.

4.4.1 Deviations from the validated methods

TaqMan® buffer A (Life Technologies) in use with the *hmg* reference system validated for the relative quantification of event MON 89034 is discontinued by the manufacturer. The applicant applied, in the context of MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 stack, an *hmg* method where *hmg* is amplified with TaqMan Universal Master Mix. The EURL GMFF substituted the discontinued buffer with the method for the *hmg* reference system validated in the context of the relative quantification of maize event MON 87460 (EURL-VL-04/09VP, page 8/10 at http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/summaries/2012-01-27_MON87460_validated_Method.pdf).

The latter method makes use of the TaqMan Universal Master Mix.

The quantification of GM event NK603 maize was carried out following a method previously verified in the context of a maize stacked event verification (bridging study, EURL-VL-01/11VR, page 19/19 at <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/summaries/EURL-VL-01-11-VR-1507-59122-MON810-NK603%20.pdf>); the final reaction volume is 25 µL for both the GM and the reference system. The quantification of NK603 is performed relative to the validated maize reference system *hmg* in substitution of the suboptimal maize reference system *adh-70* bp (pages 10-11/14 of <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/summaries/EURL-VL-03-10-VR.pdf>).

4.5 Results

Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6 present the values of the slopes of the standard curves generated by the EURL GMFF when using DNA extracted from the GM stack, from which the PCR efficiency is calculated using the formula $[10^{(-1/\text{slope})} - 1] \times 100$, and of the coefficient of determination (R^2) reported for all PCR systems in the eight runs, for GM maize events MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize. Slope values were rounded to two digits.

Table 3. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R² coefficient for the MON 87427 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

Run	MON 87427			<i>hmg</i>		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R ² coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R ² coefficient
1	-3.29	102	1.00	-3.26	103	1.00
2	-3.26	103	1.00	-3.26	103	1.00
3	-3.20	105	1.00	-3.29	101	1.00
4	-3.26	103	1.00	-3.27	102	1.00
5	-3.29	101	1.00	-3.33	100	1.00
6	-3.23	104	1.00	-3.29	101	1.00
7	-3.14	108	1.00	-3.31	101	1.00
8	-3.28	102	0.99	-3.28	102	1.00
Mean	-3.24	103	1.00	-3.29	101	1.00

Table 4. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R² coefficient for the MON 89034 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

Run	MON 89034			<i>hmg</i>		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R ² coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R ² coefficient
1	-3.40	97	1.00	-3.31	101	1.00
2	-3.50	93	1.00	-3.33	99	1.00
3	-3.47	94	1.00	-3.31	100	1.00
4	-3.46	95	1.00	-3.30	101	1.00
5	-3.50	93	1.00	-3.30	101	1.00
6	-3.43	96	1.00	-3.33	100	1.00
7	-3.52	92	1.00	-3.28	102	1.00
8	-3.46	94	1.00	-3.31	100	1.00
Mean	-3.47	94	1.00	-3.31	101	1.00

Table 5. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R² coefficient for the MIR162 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

Run	MIR162		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R ² coefficient
1	-3.36	99	1.00
2	-3.40	97	1.00
3	-3.44	95	1.00
4	-3.43	96	1.00
5	-3.53	92	1.00
6	-3.55	91	1.00
7	-3.52	92	1.00
8	-3.51	93	1.00
Mean	-3.47	94	1.00

Table 6. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and R² coefficient for the NK603 method on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

Run	NK603			<i>hmg</i>		
	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R ² coefficient	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	R ² coefficient
1	-3.54	91	0.99	-3.25	103	1.00
2	-3.43	96	0.99	-3.25	103	1.00
3	-3.73	85	0.98	-3.24	103	1.00
4	-3.50	93	1.00	-3.24	103	1.00
5	-3.45	95	0.99	-3.20	105	1.00
6	-3.51	93	0.99	-3.17	107	1.00
7	-3.63	89	0.99	-3.23	104	1.00
8	-3.49	93	0.99	-3.25	103	1.00
Mean	-3.54	92	0.99	-3.23	104	1.00

The mean PCR efficiencies of the GM and species-specific systems were above 92 % (103 % and 101 % for MON 87427 and *hmg* systems, 94 % and 101 % for MON 89034 and *hmg* systems, 94 % for MIR162 and 92 % and 104 % for NK603 and *hmg* systems).

The mean R² coefficient of the methods was 1.00 for all systems, except for NK603 (0.99). The data presented in Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6 confirm the appropriate performance characteristics of the four methods when tested on GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize in terms of PCR efficiency and R² coefficient.

The EURL GMFF also assessed the values of trueness (expressed as bias %) and precision (expressed as relative repeatability standard deviation, RSDr %) of the four methods applied to samples of DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize (see tables 7, 8, 9 and 10).

Table 7. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the MON 87427 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

MON 87427					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.06	0.20	0.9	3.0	8.0
Mean	0.07	0.17	0.79	3.0	8.3
SD	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.21	0.73
RSD_r (%)	14	10	7.4	7.1	8.8
Bias (%)	22	-14	-12	0.74	4.0

Table 8. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the MON 89034 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

MON 89034					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.09	0.40	0.90	3.0	8.0
Mean	0.09	0.36	0.81	2.8	7.5
SD	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.17	0.31
RSD_r (%)	9.9	7.7	7.5	6.3	4.1
Bias (%)	-3.1	-9.2	-11	-8.1	-6.4

Table 9. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the MIR162 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

MIR162					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.10	0.40	0.90	2.0	5.0
Mean	0.10	0.39	0.86	1.9	4.7
SD	0.01	0.04	0.09	0.36	0.63
RSD_r (%)	12	9.6	10	19	13
Bias (%)	-3.1	-2.0	-4.0	-4.8	-5.3

Table 10. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r %) of the NK603 method applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

NK603					
Unknown sample GM %	Expected value (GMO %)				
	0.10	0.50	0.90	2.0	5.0
Mean	0.09	0.41	0.81	1.7	4.7
SD	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.21	0.35
RSD _r (%)	13	12	7.4	13	7.4
Bias (%)	-10	-18	-9.6	-17	-5.8

The trueness of the method is estimated using the measurements of the method bias for each GM level. According to the ENGL acceptance criteria and method performance requirements, the trueness of the method should be less or equal to ± 25 % across the entire dynamic range. As shown in Tables 7, 8, 9 and 10, the values range from -14 % to 22 % for MON 87427, from -11 % to -3.1 % for MON 89034, from -5.3 % to -2.0 % for MIR162 and from -18 % to -5.8 % for NK603. Therefore, the four methods satisfy the above mentioned requirement throughout their respective dynamic ranges, also when applied to DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

Tables 7, 8, 9 and 10 also show the relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r) estimated for each GM level. According to the ENGL acceptance criteria and method performance requirements, the RSD_r values should be equal to or below 25 %. As the values range between 7.1 % and 14 % for MON 87427, between 4.1 % and 9.9 % for MON 89034, 9.6 % and 19 % for MIR162 and between 7.4 % and 13 % for NK603, the four methods satisfy this requirement throughout their respective dynamic ranges when applied to DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize.

5. Conclusions

The performance of the four event-specific methods for the detection and quantification of maize single line events MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603 maize, when applied to genomic DNA extracted from GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603, meets the ENGL performance requirements, as assessed on the control samples provided by the applicant.

Therefore these methods, developed and validated to detect and quantify the single maize events MON 87427, MON 89034, MIR162 and NK603, can be equally applied for the detection and quantification of the respective events in DNA extracted from the GM stack MON 87427 x MON 89034 x MIR162 x NK603 maize or any of its sub-combinations, supposed that sufficient genomic DNA of appropriate quality is available.

6. References

1. Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on genetically modified food and feed (Text with EEA relevance). OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 1–23.
2. Regulation (EU) No 503/2013 of 3 April 2013 "on applications for authorisation of genetically modified food and feed in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council and amending Commission Regulations (EC) No 641/2004 and (EC) No 1981/2006".
3. European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL), 'Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing', 2015.
http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/MPR%20Report%20Application%202010_2015.pdf.
4. Bauer T et al., 2003. The effect of processing parameters on DNA degradation in food. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 217: 338-343.
5. Chen Y et al., 2005. Degradation of endogenous and exogenous genes of Roundup Ready maize during food processing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 53: 10239-10243.
6. Murray SR et al., 2007. Use of quantitative real-time PCR to estimate maize endogenous DNA degradation after cooking and extrusion or in food products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 55: 2231-2239.
7. Demeke T and Jenkins GR, 2010. Influence of DNA extraction methods, PCR inhibitors and quantification methods on real-time PCR assay of biotechnology-derived traits. *Anal. and Bioanal. Chem.*, 396: 1977-1990.
8. Peano C et al., 2004. Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the genomic DNA extracted from GMO and non-GMO foodstuffs with four different extraction methods. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 52: 6962, 6968.

GETTING IN TOUCH WITH THE EU

In person

All over the European Union there are hundreds of Europe Direct information centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest you at: <http://europea.eu/contact>

On the phone or by email

Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union. You can contact this service:

- by freephone: 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11 (certain operators may charge for these calls),
- at the following standard number: +32 22999696, or
- by electronic mail via: <http://europa.eu/contact>

FINDING INFORMATION ABOUT THE EU

Online

Information about the European Union in all the official languages of the EU is available on the Europa website at: <http://europa.eu>

EU publications

You can download or order free and priced EU publications from EU Bookshop at: <http://bookshop.europa.eu>. Multiple copies of free publications may be obtained by contacting Europe Direct or your local information centre (see <http://europa.eu/contact>).

JRC Mission

As the science and knowledge service of the European Commission, the Joint Research Centre's mission is to support EU policies with independent evidence throughout the whole policy cycle.



EU Science Hub

ec.europa.eu/jrc



@EU_ScienceHub



EU Science Hub - Joint Research Centre



Joint Research Centre



EU Science Hub