



Report on the Verification of the Performance of MON89034 and NK603 Event-specific Methods on the Maize Event MON89034 x NK603 Using Real-Time PCR

9 September 2009

Joint Research Centre Institute for Health and Consumer Protection Molecular Biology and Genomics Unit

Executive Summary

The JRC as Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (CRL-GMFF), established by Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, has carried out a verification study to assess the performance of two quantitative event-specific methods on the maize event MON89034 x NK603 (unique identifier MON 89Ø34-3 x MON-ØØ6Ø3-6) which combines the MON89034 and NK603 transformation events. The two methods have been validated individually on single-trait events, to detect and quantify each event in maize samples. This study was conducted according to internationally accepted guidelines $^{(1, 2)}$.

In accordance to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of 22 September 2003 on genetically modified food and feed and to Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 of 6 April 2004 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, Monsanto Company provided the detection methods and the control samples: genomic DNA extracted from seeds of MON89034 x NK603 maize (lot GLP-0701-17954-S), genomic DNA extracted from seeds of non-GM maize (lot GLP-0612-17871-S). The JRC prepared the verification samples (calibration samples and blind samples at different GM percentages).

The results of the verification study were evaluated with reference to ENGL method performance requirements (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm</u>) and to the validation results on the individual parental events (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm</u>).

The results of this study are made publicly available at <u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/</u>.

Drafted by: E. Grazioli

2) G. Pinski

6A

Report Verification Team: 1) L. Bonfini

Scientific and technical approval: M. Mazzara

Quality manager: S. Cordeil

Authorisation to publish: G. Van den Eede

Address of contact laboratory:

Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC) Institute for Health and Consumer Protection (IHCP) Molecular Biology and Genomics Unit Via Fermi 2749, I-21027 Ispra (VA), Italy

Report on Steps 1-3 of the Validation Process

Monsanto Company submitted the detection methods and control samples of the maize event MON89034 x NK603 (unique identifier MON 89Ø34-3 x MON-ØØ6Ø3-6) under Article 5 and 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council "on genetically modified food and feed".

The Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (CRL-GMFF), following reception of the documentation and material, including control samples, (step 1 of the validation process) carried out the scientific assessment of documentation and data (step 2) in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 "on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the application for the authorisation of new genetically modified food and feed, the notification of existing products and adventitious or technically unavoidable presence of genetically modified material which has benefited from a favourable risk evaluation" and according to its operational procedures ("Description of **CRL-GMFF** Validation Process the http://qmocrl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm).

The scientific assessment focused on the method performance characteristics assessed against the method acceptance criteria set out by the European Network of GMO Laboratories and listed in the "Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing" (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/Method%20requirements.pdf) (see Annex 1 for a summary of method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements). During step 2, two scientific assessments were performed and one request of complementary information was addressed to the applicant. Upon reception of the complementary information, the scientific assessment of the detection method for the MON89034 x NK603 maize was positively concluded in June 2007.

The event-specific detection methods for the two maize lines hosting the single events MON89034 and NK603 were validated by the CRL-GMFF following the conclusion of the respective international collaborative studies and the publication of the validation reports (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm</u>). Hence, the detection methods applied on the maize event MON89034 x NK603 did not undergo a full validation process. The CRL-GMFF performed an inhouse verification of the detection methods to verify that they exhibit a comparable performance on samples of event MON89034 x NK603 combining the two traits (as provided in accordance to Annex 1.2.C.2 of Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004).

In January 2008, the CRL-GMFF concluded the experimental verification of the method characteristics (<u>step 3</u>, experimental testing of the samples and methods) by quantifying, with each specific method, five blind GM-levels within the range 0.09% - 8% for MON89034 and 0.1% - 5% for NK603, on a DNA/DNA ratio. The experiments were performed in repeatability conditions and demonstrated that the PCR efficiency, linearity, trueness and repeatability of the quantification were within the limits established by the ENGL.

A Technical Report summarising the results of tests carried out by the CRL-GMFF (step 3) is available on request.

Content

1.	INTRODUCTION5
2.	MATERIALS
3.	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
4.	METHOD7
5.	SUMMARY OF RESULTS
6.	METHOD PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS9
7.	COMPARISON OF METHOD PERFORMANCE BETWEEN EVENT MON89034 X NK603 AND THE SINGLE TRAIT EVENTS10
8.	CONCLUSIONS11
9.	QUALITY ASSURANCE12
10.	REFERENCES12
11.	ANNEX 1: METHOD ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA AND METHOD PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS AS SET BY THE EUROPEAN NETWORK OF GMO LABORATORIES (ENGL)

1. Introduction

Monsanto Company (below referred to as "the applicant") submitted the detection methods for MON89034 and NK603 and the control samples of the maize event MON89034 x NK603 (unique identifier MON 89Ø34-3 x MON-ØØ6Ø3-6) under Article 5 and 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council "on genetically modified food and feed".

The Joint Research Centre (JRC, Molecular Biology and Genomics Unit of the Institute of Health and Consumer Protection) as Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed, established by Regulation (EC) 1829/2003, carried out a verification of the two event-specific methods for the detection and quantification of MON89034 and NK603 in the MON89034 x NK603 maize event combining the two traits. The single methods had been previously validated by international collaborative studies on the single-trait maize events (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm</u>).

Upon reception of methods, samples and related data (step 1), the CRL-GMFF carried out the assessment of the documentation (step 2) and the in-house evaluation of the methods (step 3) according to the requirements of Regulation (EC) 641/2004 and following CRL-GMFF operational procedures. The CRL-GMFF method verification was concluded in January 2008.

A method for DNA extraction from maize seeds, submitted by the applicant, was evaluated by the CRL-GMFF in order to confirm its performance characteristics. The protocols for DNA extraction are available at <u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/</u>.

The operational procedure of the in-house verification included the following module:

✓ Quantitative real-time PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction). The methodology consists of two event-specific real-time quantitative TaqMan[®] PCR procedures for the determination of the relative content of events MON89034 and NK603 DNA to total maize DNA in the MON89034 x NK603 maize event. The procedures are simplex systems, in which the event MON89034 was quantified in reference to the maize *hmg* (high mobility group) endogenous gene and NK603 was quantified in reference to the maize *adh1* (alcohol dehydrogenase-1) endogenous gene.

The study was carried out in accordance to the following internationally accepted guidelines:

✓ The IUPAC "Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method-performance studies" ⁽²⁾.

[✓] ISO 5725:1994 ⁽¹⁾.

2. Materials

For the verification of the methods, control samples consisting of:

- genomic DNA extracted from homogenised seeds of MON89034 x NK603 maize (lot GLP-0701-17954-S),
- genomic DNA extracted from homogenised seeds of non-GM maize (lot GLP-0612-17871-S),

were provided by the applicant, in accordance to the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, Art 2.11 ["control sample defined as the GMO or its genetic material (positive sample) and the parental organism or its genetic material that has been used for the purpose of the genetic modification (negative sample)].

Samples containing mixtures of 100% MON89034 x NK603 and non GM maize genomic DNA at different GMO contents were prepared by the CRL-GMFF in a constant amount of total maize DNA, using the control samples provided.

The protocols (reagents, concentrations, primer/probe sequences) followed in the in-house verification are those already published as validated methods for the individual MON89034 and NK603 events and available at http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm.

Table 1 shows the five GM contents of unknown samples used in the verification of the MON89034 and NK603 methods.

MON89034 GM%	NK603 GM%
(GM DNA / Non-GM DNA x 100)	(GM DNA / Non-GM DNA x 100)
0.09	0.10
0.40	0.50
0.90	1.00
3.00	2.00
8.00	5.00

3. Experimental design

Eight runs for each event-specific method were carried out. In each run, samples were analysed in parallel with both the GM-specific system and the reference system (*hmg* or *adh1*). Five GM levels per run were examined and two replicates for each GM level were analysed. PCR analysis was performed in triplicate for all samples. In total, for each method (MON89034 and NK603), the quantification of the five GM levels was performed as an average of sixteen replicates per GM level. An Excel spreadsheet was used for determination of GM%.

4. Method

For specific detection of events MON89034 and NK603 in maize event MON89034 x NK603, two fragments of 77 bp and 108 bp respectively (covering the 3' insert-to-plant junctions), are amplified using specific primers.

PCR products are measured during each cycle (real-time) by means of target-specific oligonucleotide probes labelled with two fluorescent dyes: FAM is used as reporter dye at its 5' end while at the 3' end TAMRA and MGBNFQ are used as quencher dyes respectively for detection of NK603 and MON89034 events.

For relative quantification of event MON89034 DNA, a maize-specific reference system which amplifies a 79 bp fragment of the maize endogenous gene *hmg* (high mobility group, accession number AJ131373), using two *hmg* gene-specific primers and an *hmg* gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA, was used.

For relative quantification of event NK603 DNA, a maize-specific reference system which amplifies a 70-bp fragment of the maize endogenous gene *adh1* (alcohol dehydrogenase-1), using two *adh1* gene-specific primers and an *adh1* gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA, was used.

Standard curves are generated for each system (*hmg*, MON89034, and *adh1*, NK603), by plotting the Ct values measured for the calibration points against the logarithm of the DNA copy numbers and by fitting a regression line into these data. Thereafter, the standard curves are used to estimate the copy numbers in the unknown sample DNA by interpolation from the standard curves.

For detailed information on the preparation of the standard curve calibration samples please refer to the protocols of the validated methods at <u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm</u>.

5. Summary of results

The values of the slopes of the standard curves, from which the PCR efficiency is calculated using the formula $[10^{(-1/slope)-1}]*100$, and of the R² (expressing the linearity of the regression) reported for all PCR systems in the eight runs, are presented in Table 2 and 3 for MON89034 and NK603 methods, respectively.

Table 2. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and linearity (R^2) for the MON89034 method on event MON89034 x NK603.

	MON89034			hmg			
Run	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R ²)	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R ²)	
1	-3.5	91	0.997	-3.2	107	0.997	
2	-3.5	92	0.992	-3.3	100	0.998	
3	-3.6	91	0.997	-3.3	101	0.998	
4	-3.5	93	0.997	-3.2	104	0.996	
5	-3.6	90	0.997	-3.2	104	0.998	
6	-3.6	91	0.996	-3.3	102	0.998	
7	-3.5	93	0.997	-3.2	104	0.999	
8	-3.5	91	0.997	-3.3	103	0.997	
Mean	-3.5	91	0.996	-3.3	103	0.998	

Table 3. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and linearity (R^2) for the NK603 method on event MON89034 x NK603.

	NK603			adh1			
Run Slope		PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R ²)	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R ²)	
1	-3.7	85	0.990	-3.2	105	0.991	
2	-3.7	85	0.986	-3.1	109	0.992	
3	-3.6	89	0.993	-3.2	105	0.990	
4	-3.5	94	0.991	-3.3	103	0.992	
5	-3.9	80	0.984	-3.1	109	0.991	
6	-3.5	94	0.993	-3.2	106	0.992	
7	-3.6	89	0.994	-3.1	109	0.991	
8	-3.7	87	0.993	-3.1	108	0.993	
Mean	-3.7	88	0.991	-3.2	107	0.991	

The mean PCR efficiencies for the MON89034 and NK603 detection methods were 91% and 88% respectively for the MON89034 and NK603 specific systems. The linearity was 0.996 and 0.991

respectively for the MON89034 and NK603 specific systems. Overall, data reported in Table 2 and 3 confirm the appropriate performance characteristics in terms of PCR efficiency and linearity of the two methods tested on MON89034 x NK603 maize samples.

6. Method performance requirements

The results of the verification study for the MON89034 and NK603 detection methods applied to event MON89034 x NK603 maize DNA are reported in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Results were evaluated with respect to the method acceptance criteria, as established by ENGL and the CRL-GMFF (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm</u>, see also Annex 1). In addition, Tables 4 and 5 report estimates the trueness and repeatability standard deviation for each GM level and for both methods.

Table 4. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSDr %) of the MON89034 method on event MON89034 x NK603 maize DNA.

MON89034						
Unknown sample	Expected value (GMO%)					
GM%	0.09	0.4	0.9	3.0	8.0	
Mean	0.11	0.44	1.01	2.97	7.77	
SD	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.38	0.53	
RSDr (%)	15	11	6.2	12.9	6.8	
Bias (%)	23	10	13	-1.1	-2.8	

Table 5. Estimates of trueness (expressed as bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation of the NK603 method on event MON89034 x NK603 maize DNA

NK603						
Unknown sample	Expected value (GMO%)					
GM%	0.10	0.5	1.0	2.0	5.0	
Mean	0.12	0.52	1.19	1.89	5.66	
SD	0.02	0.04	0.11	0.28	0.57	
RSDr (%)	14	6.9	9.2	15	10.1	
Bias (%)	23	3.7	19	-5.3	13	

The *trueness* of the method is estimated using the measures of the method bias for each GM level. According to the ENGL acceptance criteria and method performance requirements, the trueness of the method, measured as bias from the accepted value, should be \pm 25% across the entire dynamic range. As shown in Tables 4 and 5, both methods satisfy the above requirement throughout their respective dynamic ranges.

Tables 4 and 5 further document the relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD_r) as estimated for each GM level. In order to accept methods for collaborative trial evaluation, the CRL-GMFF requires that RSD_r values are below 25%, as indicated by ENGL (Definition of Minimum

Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing" [<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm</u>]). As it can be observed from the values reported in Tables 4 and 5, the two methods satisfy this requirement throughout their respective dynamic ranges.

7. Comparison of method performance between event MON89034 x NK603 and the single trait events

An indicative comparison of the two method performances on the maize event MON89034 x NK603 and the single trait events is shown in Tables 6 and 7. The performance of the methods on the single lines was previously assessed though international collaborative trials.

Table 6. Trueness (bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSDr %) of the MON89034 detection method on event MON89034 x NK603 and on event MON89034.

	ss and repeatabili	-		Trueness and repeatability of MON89034 quantification on single event MON89034			
GM%	GM% Bias (%) RSDr (%)			Bias (%)	RSDr (%)		
0.09	23	15	0.09	25	18		
0.4	10	11	0.4	6.4	13		
0.9	13	6.2	0.9	4.3	17		
3.0	-1.1	12.9	3.0	-5.8	12		
8.0	-2.8	6.8	8.0	-11	9.5		

*method validated in collaborative trial (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm)

Table 7. Trueness (bias %) and relative repeatability standard deviation (RSDr %) of the NK603 detection method on event MON89034 x NK603 and on event NK603.

	ness and repeatab ification on MON	-		Trueness and repeatability of NK603 quantification on single event NK603*			
GM%	GM% Bias (%) RSDr (%)			Bias (%)	RSDr (%)		
0.10	23	14	0.1	83	24		
0.5	3.7	6.9	0.49	73	15		
1.0	19	9.2	0.98	47	17		
2.0	-5.3	15	1.96	14	7.7		
5.0	13	10	4.91	22	22		

*method validated in collaborative trial (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm)

The MON89034 event-specific method (Table 6), when is applied to event MON89034 x NK603, shows higher bias (%) than the single trait line at GM contents of 0.4% and 0.9%, and lower bias at GM levels of 0.09%, 3% and 8%). The NK603 event-specific method (Table 7), when

applied to event MON89034 x NK603, shows lower bias (%) at all GM contents tested. In all cases, however, the trueness is within the acceptance level set by the ENGL (\pm 25%).

Regarding the relative repeatability standard deviation (RSDr %), the MON89034 event-specific method (Table 6) shows similar values when applied to the single event and to the MON89034 x NK603 hybrid, with the exception of a lower value at GM content of 0.9%. The NK603 method shows lower values of RSDr % on MON89034 x NK603 compared to the single line, except for the GM content of 2% (Table 7).

In all cases, the values of RSDr % are within the ENGL acceptance level established at maximum 25%.

Therefore, the method verification has demonstrated that the MON89034 and NK603 detection methods developed to detect and quantify the single events can be equally applied for the quantification of the respective events combined in event MON89034 x NK603.

8. Conclusions

The overall method performance of the two event-specific methods for the quantitative detection of events MON89034 and NK603 combined in maize event MON89034 x NK603 have been evaluated with respect to the method acceptance criteria and the method performance requirements recommended by the ENGL (as detailed at http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm), and to the validation results obtained for the single trait events (http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/statusofdoss.htm).

The results obtained during the present verification study indicate that the analytical modules of the methods submitted by the applicant comply with ENGL performance criteria. The methods are therefore applicable to the control samples provided (see paragraph 2 "Materials"), in accordance with the requirements of Annex I-2.C.2 to Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004.

9. Quality assurance

The CRL-GMFF carries out all operations according to ISO 9001:2000 (certificate number: CH-32232) and ISO 17025:2005 (certificate number: DAC-PL-0459-06-00) [DNA extraction, qualitative and quantitative PCR in the area of Biology (DNA extraction and PCR method validation for the detection and identification of GMOs in food and feed materials)].

10. References

1. International Standard (ISO) 5725:1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results. International Organization for Standardization.

2. Horwitz W., 1995. Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method performance studies, *Pure and Appl. Chem*, 67: 331-343.

11. Annex 1: method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements as set by the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL)

<u>Method Acceptance Criteria</u> should be fulfilled at the moment of submission of a method (Phase 1: acceptance for the collaborative study).

<u>Method Performance Requirements</u> should be fulfilled in a collaborative study in order to consider the method as fit for its purpose (Phase 2: evaluation of the collaborative study results).

Method Acceptance Criteria

Applicability

Definition: The description of analytes, matrices, and concentrations to which a method can be applied.

Acceptance Criterion: The applicability statement should provide information on the scope of the method and include data for the indices listed below for the product/s for which the application is submitted. The description should also include warnings to known interferences by other analytes, or inapplicability to certain matrices and situations.

Practicability

Definition: The ease of operations, the feasibility and efficiency of implementation, the associated unitary costs (e.g. Euro/sample) of the method.

Acceptance Criterion: The practicability statement should provide indication on the required equipment for the application of the method with regards to the analysis *per se* and the sample preparation. An indication of costs, timing, practical difficulties and any other factor that could be of importance for the operators should be indicated.

Specificity

Definition: Property of a method to respond exclusively to the characteristic or analyte of interest.

Acceptance Criterion: The method should be event-specific and be functional only with the GMO or GM based product for which it was developed. This should be demonstrated by empirical results from testing the method with non-target transgenic events and non-transgenic material. This testing should include closely related events and cases where the limit of the detection is tested.

Dynamic Range

Definition: The range of concentrations over which the method performs in a linear manner with an acceptable level of accuracy and precision.

Acceptance Criterion: The dynamic range of the method should include the 1/10 and at least 5 times the target concentration. Target concentration is intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements. The acceptable level of accuracy and precision are described below. The range of the standard curve(s) should allow testing of blind samples throughout the entire dynamic range, including the lower (10%) and upper (500%) end.

Accuracy

Definition: The closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference value.

Acceptance Criterion: The accuracy should be within \pm 25% of the accepted reference value over the whole dynamic range.

Amplification Efficiency

Definition: The rate of amplification that leads to a theoretical slope of -3.32 with an efficiency of 100% in each cycle. The efficiency of the reaction can be calculated by the following equation: Efficiency = $[10^{(-1)/(1-1)}] - 1$

Acceptance Criterion: The average value of the slope of the standard curve should be in the range of (- 3.1 \geq slope \geq - 3.6)

R² Coefficient

Definition: The R^2 coefficient is the correlation coefficient of a standard curve obtained by linear regression analysis.

Acceptance Criterion: The average value of R^2 should be ≥ 0.98 .

Repeatability Standard Deviation (RSD_r)

Definition: The standard deviation of test results obtained under repeatability conditions. Repeatability conditions are conditions where test results are obtained with the same method, on identical test items, in the same laboratory, by the same operator, using the same equipment within short intervals of time.

Acceptance Criterion: The relative repeatability standard deviation should be below 25% over the whole dynamic range of the method.

Note: Estimates of repeatability submitted by the applicant should be obtained on a sufficient number of test results, at least 15, as indicated in ISO 5725-3 (1994).

Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)

Definition: The limit of quantitation is the lowest amount or concentration of analyte in a sample that can be reliably quantified with an acceptable level of precision and accuracy.

Acceptance Criterion: LOQ should be less than $1/10^{\text{th}}$ of the value of the target concentration with an RSD_r \leq 25%. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements. The acceptable level of accuracy and precision are described below.

Limit of Detection (LOD)

Definition: The limit of detection is the lowest amount or concentration of analyte in a sample, which can be reliably detected, but not necessarily quantified, as demonstrated by single laboratory validation.

Acceptance Criterion: LOD should be less than $1/20^{\text{th}}$ of the target concentration. Experimentally, quantitative methods should detect the presence of the analyte at least 95% of the time at the LOD, ensuring \leq 5% false negative results. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements.

Robustness

Definition: The robustness of a method is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate deviations from the experimental conditions described in the procedure.

Acceptance Criterion: The response of an assay with respect to these small variations should not deviate more than \pm 30%. Examples of factors that a robustness test could address are: use of different instrument type, operator, brand of reagents, concentration of reagents, and temperature of reaction.

Method Performance Requirements

Dynamic Range

Definition: In the collaborative trial the dynamic range is the range of concentrations over which the reproducibility and the trueness of the method are evaluated with respect to the requirements specified below.

Acceptance Criterion: The dynamic range of the method should include the 1/10 and at least five times the target concentration. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements.

Reproducibility Standard Deviation (RSD_R)

Definition: The standard deviation of test results obtained under reproducibility conditions. Reproducibility conditions are conditions where test results are obtained with the same method, on identical test items, in different laboratories, with different operators, using different equipment. Reproducibility standard deviation describes the inter-laboratory variation.

Acceptance Criterion: The relative reproducibility standard deviation should be below 35% at the target concentration and over the entire dynamic range. An $RSD_R < 50\%$ is acceptable for concentrations below 0.2%.

Trueness

Definition: The closeness of agreement between the average value obtained from a large series of test results and an accepted reference value. The measure of trueness is usually expressed in terms of bias.

Acceptance Criterion: The trueness should be within \pm 25% of the accepted reference value over the whole dynamic range.