

International Workshop on GMO Detection and Analysis. 4-5 December, 2009 - Foz do Iguazu.

# GMO analysis and Measurement of Uncertainty – critical aspects and specific requirements

Guy Van den Eede

Molecular Biology and Genomics Unit JRC Institute for Health and Consumer Protection (IHCP)



And Roy Macarthur

The Food and Environmental Research Agency (UK)



The Food and Environment Research Agency



- Labelled products that contain more than 0.9% GMO
- Enforcement shall be sure that a product must be labelled where result minus uncertainty is greater than 0.9%
- Producer shall be sure that a product does not require labelling where result plus uncertainty is less than 0.9%
- Results of different laboratories' results have to be considered for legal disputes



## **GMO** analysis: sources of errors

5

International Workshop on GMO Detection and Analysis. 4-5 December, 2009 – Foz do Iguazu.





### ...the pattern of convergence to the "true" value is the same for all content and heterogeneity levels







8

Training on GMO Analysis June 15-19, 2009 - HB



# **UROPEAN COMMISSION** Modularity of DNA-based analysis

International Workshop on GMO Detection and Analysis. 4-5 December, 2009 - Foz do Iguazu.











Uncertainty is a **factor** of app. 3





Uncertainty is a factor of app. 2



- Where nothing is known about the analytical method of the whole analytical process:
  Proficiency tests are a good model
- Results show a log-normal distribution
- Uncertainty is a **factor** of app. 3 (RSD<sub>R</sub> > 35%)
- Result > 2.7% demonstrates need for labelling
- Result < 0.3% proves no need for labelling



- For CRL validated PCR modules
- Result display log-normal distribution
- Uncertainty is a **factor** of app. 2 ( $RSD_R < 35\%$ )
- Result > 1.8% demonstrates need for labelling
- Result < 0.45% proves no need for labelling





Concentration of GA21 (g/100g flour)



## **Uncertainty profile**





## **Uncertainty profile**





18



Taken from A.-H. Jensen



Effect of parental contributions : the concept of "biological uncertainty"

seedcoat (2n) endosperm (3n) embryo (2n)



Maize:

Embryo  $\approx$  48 % of total DNA? Endosperm  $\approx$  49 % of total DNA? Seedcoat  $\approx$  3 % of total DNA? From Trifa & Zhang J. Agric. Food Chem. 52: 1044-1048 (2004)

19

GM ♀ X non-GM ♂ GM = 24 + 33 + 3 % = 60 % of total DNA

Non-GM ♀ X GM ♂ GM = 24 + 16 + 0 % = 40 % of total DNA

GM 9 X GM ♂ GM = 48 + 49 + 3 % = 100 % of total DNA

What does 0.7 % GMO mean in Qn analysis?

Taken from A.-H. Jensen



# Uncertainty associated with estimates of GM content on %seed and %DNA scales

Training on GMO Analysis June 15-19, 2009 - HB		20
Scenario	Relative standard	Factor for 95% confidence
	uncertainty	interval
%DNA, direct measurement	0.35	2.0
(based on CRL validation data)		
%Seed, direct measurement	0.38	2.1
(based on results of the last 3 ISTA proficiency test )		
%DNA from seed	0.54	2.8
%Seed from DNA	0.52	2.7
%DNA from seed, with perfect knowledge of biological	0.51	2.6
factors		
%Seed from DNA, with perfect knowledge of biological	0.49	2.5
factors		



The analytical uncertainty (expressed as a 95% confidence interval) is approximately a factor of 2 (whichever unit of measurement used) for samples that contain approximately 1% of GM (and the analytical uncertainty would be larger for samples that contain lower concentrations).

Relation between the uncertainty (at 95% confidence) associated with a converted quantity of GM in seeds and the uncertainty associated with the directly measured quantity





- For a crop like maize, the GM content in seeds will be composed of GM seeds <u>heterozygous</u> for the transgenic locus since commercial maize seeds are hybrids and are resulting from crosspollination between two different parents (one of them carrying the relevant transgene).
- For a crop like soya, the GM content in seeds will be composed of GM seeds, <u>homozygous</u> for the transgenic loci, since commercial soya seeds are not hybrids and are resulting from self-pollination.



24

**For all crops**, the GM content expressed in percentage of seeds can be considered roughly equivalent to GM content expressed in percentage of mass (in other words, the percentage in weight of GM seeds/weight of conventional seeds can be considered roughly equivalent to number of GM seeds/total number of seeds)

% seed ≈ % mass



25

For crops like **maize**, where the GM content will be composed of heterozygous GM seeds, a conversion factor of 0.5 can be used between measurements based on DNA copies and measurements based on number of seeds:

> % DNA ≈ % seed x 0.5 x stacking level [i.e. number of inserts per seed]



26

For crops like **soya**, where the GM content will be composed of homozygous GM seeds, a conversion factor of 1 can be used between measurements based on DNA copies and measurements based on number of seeds:

> % DNA ≈ % seed x stacking level [i.e. number of inserts per seed]



# **Guidance Document on Measurement** Uncertainty for GMO Testing Laboratories

S. Trapman, M. Burns, H. Broll, R. Macarthur, R. Wood, J. Zel





International Workshop on GMO Detection and Analysis. 4-5 December, 2009 – Foz do Iguazu.

# Muito Obrigado!

